



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,133	05/09/2001	Pierre-Albert Breton	208301US2	2674	
22850 7	7590 05/27/2004		EXAM	INER ,	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			LAO, TIM P		
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2655	11	
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004	ļ.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	in No	Applicant(s)	
•				
Office Action Summary	09/851,13	3		E-ALBERT
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner		Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this commu	Tim Lao	cover sheet with the c	2655	Idress
Period for Reply	moudon appears on the	over greet was all o	57700p071201100 aa	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. (30) days, a reply within the statu statutory period will apply and will y will, by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on 02/23/2004.			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		secution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the prac	·			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,13-17 and 19</u> is/are 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5, 6, 8-12, and 18</u> is/are 68) □ Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from con rejected. bjected to.			
Application Papers				
 9) The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specific transfer of transfer of the specific transfer of trans	is/are: a) accepted ection to the drawing(s) but ag the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat * See the attached detailed Office act	y documents have bee y documents have bee s of the priority docume ional Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 	(PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In response to the Office Action of November 14, 2003, Applicants have submitted an Amendment, filed February 23, 2004, amending claims 1-3, 5-9, and 12-19, and arguing to overcome the art rejections. Claims 1-19 are pending in this application. Of the pending claims, claims 1 and 16 are independent claims.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. This is a Non-final Office Action in view of new ground(s) of rejection not necessitated by Applicant's amendment.

Drawings

4. Figures 1a, 1b should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2655

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-4, 13, 15-17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Pastor et al. (U.S. Patent 6,438,513, hereinafter "Pastor[1]"), and further in view of Yamaguchi et al. (U.S. Patent 6,026,359, hereinafter "Yamaguchi").

Claim(s)

Applicant's admitted prior art shows:

1

A method of voice recognition in a noise-ridden acoustic signal comprising:

a step of digitizing and subdividing the noise-ridden acoustic signal into a sequence of temporal frames; (Fig.1b: 1)

a step of parameterizing speech-containing temporal frames so as to obtain a vector of parameters, per speech containing frame, in the frequency domain, the vector of parameters expressing the acoustic contents of each speech containing frame; (Fig.1b: 2)

a shape-recognition step in which the vectors of parameters are assessed with respect to references pre-recorded in a reference space during a preliminary learning step, so as to obtain recognition by the determining of at least one reference which is closest to the vector of parameters; (Fig.1b: 3)

Applicant's admitted prior art does not show:

a step of reiterative searching for successive noise models in the sequence of temporal frames, a new noise model replacing a current noise model, each noise model comprising several successive frames;

a step of searching for a noise transition between the new noise model and the

Art Unit: 2655

current noise model; and wherein,

when the noise transition has been detected, the method comprises a step of updating the reference space as a function of the new noise model, the parameterizing step including a step of matching the parameters to the new noise model.

Pastor[1] teaches:

a step of reiterative searching for successive noise models in the sequence of temporal frames, a new noise model replacing a current noise model, each noise model comprising several successive frames; (see Abstract)

a step of searching for a noise transition between the new noise model and the current noise model; (Fig.3: "comparison with energy of the previous model")

Yamaguchi teaches:

a step of updating (adapting) the reference space (initial noisy speech model) as a function of the new noise model (noisy speech model after condition change); (Fig 3; col.2, II.20-28; col.4, II.47-67; col.5, II.1-52)

{The initial noisy speech model are adapted the new noisy speech model after a detection of a change in the energy spectrum. It would be obvious to match to the parameters to the new noisy speech model since the new noisy speech model represents the most current and updated model.}

a step of matching the parameters to the new noise model. (Fig.3: 11, 12) {speech recognition 11 is performed using the adapted noisy speech model 12.}

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the speech recognition method of Applicant's admitted prior art to include the reiterative and noise transition search of Pastor[1] and the step of updating a reference space as a function of a new noise model as taught by Yamaguchi in order to improve the robustness of speech recognition. The reiterative search method for noise reduction is useful in aircraft where noise from engines, air-conditioning, aerodynamics are continuously presented and where intelligent speech recognition in such noisy environment is

Art Unit: 2655

important (Pastor[1], col.1, II.14-42). The updating of noise models is useful for improving recognition rate (Yamaguchi: col.1, II.21-52).

modified

Claim(s) 🗘 🀍

The combination of Applicant's admitted prior art and Paster[1] would show:

2

A method of voice recognition according to claim 1, wherein the step of searching for a noise transition comprises a step of searching for an energy incompatibility and/or a step of searching for a spectral incompatibility between the new noise model and the current model, the detection of an incompatibility expressing a noise transition. (Pastor[1]: col.4, II.55-67; col.5, II.1-8)

{spectral incompatibility search is met by mean energy comparison between the old and new noise model.}

Claim(s)

The eembination of Applicant's admitted prior art and Pastor[1] would show:

3

A method of voice recognition according to claim 2, wherein the step of searching for an energy incompatibility comprises a comparison of the ratio between a mean energy Enewmod of the new noise model and a mean energy of the current noise model Emodcurr with a low threshold S' and a high threshold S, an energy incompatibility being found if the ratio is outside the interval delimited by the two thresholds S, S'. (Pastor[1]: col.5, II.58-67; col.6, II.1-6)

Claim(s)

te combination of Applicant's admitted prior art and Pastorf11 would show:

4

A method of voice recognition according to claim 3, wherein the step of searching for an energy incompatibility also comprises a comparison of the mean energy Enewmod of the new noise model and the mean energy of the current noise model Emodcurr with an energy floor threshold Emin below which the noise is negligible, the energy incompatibility determined by the comparison of the ratio between the mean energy of the new noise model Enewmod and the mean energy of the current noise model Emodcurr being ignored when the mean energy of the new noise model Enewmod and the mean energy of the current noise model Emodcurr are both below the energy floor threshold Emin. (Pastor[1]: Fig. 3: "Halt the formulation", col.6, Il.25-34)

{Emin can be a value \leq 1/S (S'=1/S) in which case the comparison of ratio of mean energy is abandoned due to insignificant presence of ambient noise.}

Art Unit: 2655

Claim(s)	Applicant's admitted prior art shows:
13	
	A method according to claim 1, using, as references, a sequence of temporal frames
	corresponding to one or more words, this sequence of frames being identified by a series of
	vectors of parameters, said parameters being obtained by compression of spectral
	coefficients. (Fig.1b: 2, 3)
Claim(s)	Applicant's admitted prior art shows:
15	
	A method according to claim 1, comprising a step of noise-suppression in the speech-
	containing temporal frames before the parameterizing step. (Fig.1b: 6)
Claim(s)	Applicant's admitted prior art shows:
16	
	A system of voice recognition in a noise-ridden acoustic signal comprising:
	means to acquire the noise-ridden acoustic signal, digitize the noise-ridden acoustic
•	signal and subdivide subdivide the noise-ridden acoustic signal into temporal frames; (Fig.1b:
	1)
	a parameterizing chain to translate the temporal frames containing speech into
	vectors of parameters in the frequency domain; (Fig.1b: 2)
	shape-recognition means with a reference space having references acquired during a
	learning stage, to compare the vectors of parameters coming from the parameterizing chain
	with the references, so as to obtain recognition by the determination of a reference that most
	closely approaches the vectors of parameters; (Fig.1b: 3)
	Applicant's admitted prior art does not show:
	means for modeling the noise to reiteratively prepare noise models, a new noise
	model replacing a current noise model;
	means for detecting a noise transition between the new noise model and the current
	noise model;

Art Unit: 2655

means to match the parameterizing chain with the new noise model having activated the noise transition; and

means to update the references of the reference space as a function of a noise level of the new noise model having activated the noise transition.

Pastor[1] teaches:

means for modeling the noise to reiteratively prepare noise models, a new noise model replacing a current noise model; and (see Abstract)

means for detecting a noise transition between the new noise model and the current noise model. (Fig.3: "comparison with energy of the previous model")

Yamaguchi teaches:

means to match the parameterizing chain with the new noise model having activated the noise transition; (Fig.3: 11, 12) and

{speech recognition 11 is performed using the adapted noisy speech model 12.}

means to update (adapting) the references of the reference space (initial noisy speech model) as a function of a noise level of the new noise model (noisy speech model after condition change) having activated the noise transition. (Fig 3; col.2, II.20-28; col.4, II.47-67; col.5, II.1-52)

{The initial noisy speech model are adapted the new noisy speech model after a detection of a change in the energy spectrum. It would be obvious to match to the parameters to the new noisy speech model since the new noisy speech model represents the most current and updated model.}

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the speech recognition method of Applicant's admitted prior art to include the reiterative and noise transition search of Pastor[1] and the means of updating a reference space as a function of a new noise model as taught by Yamaguchi in order to improve the robustness of speech recognition. The reiterative search method for noise

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/851,133

Art Unit: 2655

	reduction is useful in aircraft where noise from engines, air-conditioning, aerodynamics are
	continuously presented and where intelligent speech recognition in such noisy environment is
	important (Pastor[1], col.1, II.14-42). The updating of noise models is useful for improving
	recognition rate (Yamaguchi: col.1, Il.21-52).
Claim(s)	The combination of Applicant's admitted prior art, Pastor[1], and Yamaguchi would show:
17	
	A system of voice recognition according to claim 16, wherein the means used to
	update the references of the reference space comprise a first memory space (Fig.3: 11) to
	store the updated references, said updated references having to replace current references
	used for shape recognition before the detection of the noise transition, said current references
	being stored in a second memory space (Fig.3: 6). (Yamaguchi: Fig.3)
Claim(s)	Applicant's admitted prior art shows:
19	
	A voice-recognition system according to claim 16, comprising means for noise-
	suppression (Fig.1b: 6) in the speech-containing temporal frames before the speech
	containing temporal frames are translated by said parameterizing chain (Fig.1b: 2).

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Pastor[1] and Yamaguchi, and further in view of Pastor et al. (U.S. Patent 6,445,801, hereinafter "Pastor[2]").

Claim(s)

The modified Applicant's admitted prior art does not show:

7

A method of voice recognition according to claim 1, wherein the parameterizing step comprises a step of determining spectral coefficients Bi,par, each associated with a frequency channel i each expressing a representation of a spectral energy of a frame containing speech in the frequency channel i, the parameter-matching step comprising a determining, for each spectral coefficient Bi,par, of a robustness operator OpRob(Bi,par), the robustness operator expressing the confidence to be attached to the spectral coefficient Bi,par with respect to the noise level of the new noise model in the same frequency channel i, a weighting of the

Art Unit: 2655

spectral coefficient Bi,par with the robustness operator OpRob(Bi,par) and a determining of the vector of parameters on the basis of the weighted spectral coefficients.

Pastor[2] teaches:

a parameterizing step comprises:

a step of determining spectral coefficients Bi,par (" $\gamma_i(\nu)$, $\gamma_x(\nu)$ "), each associated with a frequency channel i (ν) each expressing a representation of a spectral energy of a frame containing speech in the frequency channel I (Fig.8, estimation of " $\gamma_i(\nu)$, $\gamma_x(\nu)$ "; col.14, II.17-20), the parameter-matching step comprising:

a determining, for each spectral coefficient Bi,par (" $\gamma_i(\nu)$, $\gamma_x(\nu)$ "), of a robustness operator OpRob(Bi,par) (e.g., α), the robustness operator expressing the confidence (e.g., weighting of spectral coefficients on α : see eq.8) to be attached to the spectral coefficient Bi,par with respect to the noise level of the new noise model in the same frequency channel i, (col.7, eq.8; col.8, II.10-18)

a weighting of the spectral coefficient Bi,par with the robustness operator OpRob(Bi,par) and (col.7, eq.8; col.7, II.66-67; col.8, II.1-6; col.8, II.37)

a determining of the vector of parameters on the basis of the weighted spectral coefficients. (Fig.8, "computation of the Wiener coefficient"; col.7, eq.8)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the spectral coefficient determination step of Pastor[2] in the modified Applicant's admitted prior art in order to perform noise suppression using Wiener filter. Wiener filter is a commonly used noise filter (Pastor[2]: col.1, II.58-67; col.2, 1-5)

Art Unit: 2655

8. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Pastor[1] and Yamaguchi, and further in view of Takahashi et al. (U.S. Patent 5,793,891, hereinafter "Takahashi").

Claim (s)

The modified Applicant's admitted prior art does not show:

14

A method according to claim 1, using, as references, a sequence of temporal frames corresponding to one or more phonemes, said sequence of frames being identified by a center and a standard deviation of a Gaussian function, said center and said standard deviation depending on the parameters of the vectors of parameters of the frames.

Takahashi teaches:

using, as references, a sequence of temporal frames corresponding to one or more phonemes, said sequence of frames being identified by a center (mean) and a standard deviation (variance) of a Gaussian function, said center and said standard deviation depending on the parameters of the vectors of parameters of the frames. (col.14, II.13-30; col.2, II.31-47)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the modified Applicant's admitted prior art the representation of reference phonemes by Gaussian functions as taught by Takahashi in order model the noisy speech using Gaussian functions. The Gaussian function is commonly used to model additive noise and provides a good noise model to model noises in noisy speech.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2655

9. Claims 5, 6, 8-12, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim(s)

The prior art fails to show:

5

A method of voice recognition according to claim 2, wherein the step of searching for spectral incompatibility comprises, on the basis of spectral coefficients Bi,modcurr Bi,newmod respectively expressing a spectral energy of frames of the current noise model and a spectral energy of frames of the new noise model in at least one frequency channel i, a comparison of the ratio between the spectral coefficient Bi,newmod associated with the frequency channel i of the new noise model and the spectral coefficient Bi,modcurr associated with the same frequency channel i of the current noise model with a low threshold Sf and a high threshold Sf, a spectral incompatibility being found if the ratio is located outside the interval delimited by the two thresholds, Sf, Sf.

Claim(s)

The prior art fails to show:

6

A method of voice recognition according to claim 5, wherein the step of searching for a spectral incompatibility also comprises, for at least one frequency channel i, a comparison of the spectral coefficient Bi,newmod of the new noise model in the frequency channel i and of the spectral coefficient Bi,modcurr of the current noise model in the frequency channel i with a floor spectral coefficient Bi,min associated with the frequency channel i, namely a floor below which the noise is negligible, a spectral incompatibility determined by the comparison of the ratio between spectral coefficients being ignored when, for the frequency channel i, the spectral coefficients of the new noise model and of the current noise model are both below the floor spectral coefficient Bi,min.

Claim(s)

The prior art fails to show:

8

A method according to claim 7, wherein the robustness operator OpRob(Bi,par) verifies the following relationship:

Art Unit: 2655

$$OpRob(B_{i,par}) = \left\{ \max \left(0.25 + \frac{B_{i,par} - P(B_{i,new \, \text{mod}})}{B_{i,par} + 2P(B_{i,new \, \text{mod}})}, 0 \right) \right\}^{2}$$

Bi,par being the spectral coefficient and P(Bi,newmod) being a parameter dependent on the noise level of the new noise model having activated the transition in the frequency channel i.

Claim(s)

9

The prior art fails to show:

A method of voice recognition according to claim 1, further comprising reference space updating step comprising the following operations, on the basis of basic spectral coefficients each associated with a frequency channel i, each expressing a spectral energy of a basic frame obtained during the learning step:

the determining of a robustness operator OpRob(Bi,base) for each basic spectral coefficient Bi,base, the robustness operator expressing the confidence to be attached to the basic spectral coefficient Bi,base with respect to a noise level of the new noise model in the same frequency channel i, a weighting of the basic spectral coefficients Bi,base with the respective robustness operators OpRob(Bi,base), and preparation of the updated references with the weighted basic spectral coefficients.

Claim(s)

(s) The prior art fails to show:

10

A method according to claim 9, wherein the robustness operator OpRob(Bi,base) for the updating of the reference space verifies the following relationship:

$$OpRob(B_{i,base}) = \left\{ \max \left(0.25 + \frac{B_{i,base} - P(B_{i,new \, \text{mod}})}{B_{i,base} + 2P(B_{i,new \, \text{mod}})}, 0 \right) \right\}^{2}$$

Bi,base being the basic spectral coefficient and P(Bi,newmod) being a parameter depending on the noise level of the new noise model having activated the transition, in the frequency channel i.

Art Unit: 2655

Claim(s)	The prior art fails to show:
11	
	A method according to claim 9, in which the references are prepared on the basis of
	compressed basic spectral coefficients, wherein the method uses a conversion table to
	convert the compressed basic spectral coefficients into compressed and weighted basic
	spectral coefficients.
Claim(s)	The prior art fails to show:
12	
	A method according to claim 11, wherein the conversion table contains the non-
	compressed basic spectral coefficients Bi,base obtained by application of the reverse of the
	compression function to the compressed basic coefficients and wherein the method
	comprises:
	determining the robustness operator OpRob(Bi,base) for each of the non-compressed
	basic spectral coefficients Bi,base, a weighting of the non-compressed basic spectral
	coefficients Bi,base, a compression of the non-compressed and weighted basic spectral
	coefficients so as to obtain the compressed and weighted basic spectral coefficients.
Claim(s)	The prior art fails to show:
	A voice-recognition system according to claim 16, comprising a memory space to
	store compressed basic spectral coefficients obtained from basic spectral coefficients each
	associated with a frequency channel i, said basic spectral coefficients each expressing the
	spectral energy of a basic frame coming from the learning stage, a conversion table to
	convert the compressed basic spectral coefficients into compressed and weighted basic
	spectral coefficients, each weighted by a robustness operator OpRob(Bi,base) as a function
	of the noise level of the new noise model having activated the noise transition and of the
	basic spectral coefficient (Bi,base) to be weighted, said compressed and weighted basic
	spectral coefficients being used for the updating of the references of the reference space.

Page 14

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Lao whose telephone number is 703-305-8955.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 703-305-4827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tim Lao Examiner Art Unit 2655

05/19/04

DORIS H. TO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600"